

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/021,316	10/22/2001	Mark Lucovsky	3100	9380	
75	90 04/14/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Law Offices of Albert S. Michalik, PLLC 704 - 228th Avenue NE			LEROUX, ETII	LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE	
Suite 193			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Sammamish, WA 98074			2161		
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/021,316	LUCOVSKY ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Etienne P LeRoux	2161			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Etienne P LeRoux	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)</li> </ol>	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abant an amendment, affidavit, or other beal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must the final rejection.  The final rejection or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST and an AMED THE FIRST and AMED THE FIRST AM	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31; st be filed within one e final rejection, whichever f the final rejection.	es the or (3) a of the following er is later. In no			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 ) as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be	ow); ttor form for appeal by materially r	aducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(c) hey are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	itter form for appear by materially for	saucing or simplifying	, the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
NOTE: Independent claims have been amended			ew search be			
performed (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).	_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-37</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 4-57. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	cnea.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:	, , ,					
		AM	MET IAUIC			

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100